

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/647,237	YAMANAKA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Benny Lee	2817	

All Participants:

- (1) Benny Lee.
- (2) Daniel Geselowitz.

Status of Application: _____

- (3) _____
- (4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 November 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 6, 10-16, 20

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to amend cls 1, 20 by using the term --further-- to clarify the 45 deg face, which would not be covered by the previously recited superconductive layers. Furthermore, it was agreed that claims 6, 10-16, all of which refer to the fig. 3 embodiment would be canceled as to avoid inconsistency with the 45 deg face embodiment..